Remarks

A. Basis for claim amendments

Basis for the amendment to claim 1 to --20%-- includes page 3, line 22 of the verified translation of the application filed on July 12, 2006.

Basis for the amendment to claim 1 to --acrylic acid--includes claim 1 itself.

B. The Office Action

Claims 1 and 3-6 are pending.

On page 2 of the Office Action, independent claim 1 and dependent claims 4 and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tenten et al. (US 5,677,261), in view of Neher et al. (US 5,387,720).

On page 4 of the Office Action, <u>dependent claim 3</u> was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tenten et al. (US 5,677,261), in view of Neher et al. (US 5,387,720), and further in view of Unverricht et al. (US 6,403,829).

On pages 4-5 of the Office Action, <u>dependent claim 5</u> was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tenten et al. (US 5,677,261), in view of Neher et al. (US 5,387,720), and further in view of Uchida et al. (US 4,871,700).

C. Applicant's discussion

C.1.a. KSR International as to scope and content
It is respectfully submitted that the appropriate
standard of review is found in KSR International Co. v.

Teleflex Inc. et al., 550 U.S. _____, 127 S. Ct. 1727 (2007),
and that this decision mandates that the scope and content
of the prior art are to be determined.

(18450.DOC) (Amendment and Remarks--page 4 of 12)

c.1.b. A review of the scope and content of the Tenten et al. and Neher et al. reference in relation to the claimed first and second steps, the claimed starting material, and the claimed final product shows that the primary reference is applied against a second step and the secondary reference is applied against a first step

Claim 1 positively claims a first step. This first step also claims a starting material of an aqueous glycerol solution.

Claim 1 also positively claims a second step. This second step also claims the final product of <u>acrylic</u> acid (not methacrylic acid).

The primary reference, Tenten et al., is being applied against the second step. The Office Action points out that "Tenten et al. teaches the preparation of acrylic acid from acrolein by gas-phase catalytic oxidation (column 11, lines 27-28). As to such a teaching, it is noted that acrolein (not an alcohol and not glycerol) is the starting material.

The secondary reference, Neher et al., is being applied against the first step. For example, the scope and content of the Neher et al. reference includes the disclosure in Example 1 that a 20 wt. % aqueous glycerol solution (water content: 80 wt. %) is pumped at 40 ml/h into a vaporizer to obtain acrolein.

Thus, according to the Office Action, the Neher et al. reference discloses that a glycerol solution may be used to produce acrolein, and the Tenten et al. reference discloses acrolein may be used to produce acrylic acid.

Thus, Tenten et al. discloses not the starting material of glycerol, and not the claimed first step, but only the final product. The secondary reference, Neher et al., does nothing to "modify" or "cure" Tenten et al. The only role

(18450.DOC) (Amendment and Remarks--page 5 of 12)

of the secondary reference is to be cited against the starting material of glycerol and the first step.

All inventions are combinations of old elements. the present invention is a combination of step A and step B, then the Office Action is citing one reference that may or may not relate to the step B and another reference that may or may not relate to the step A. It is respectfully submitted that KSR International demands more.

Even the Office Action appears to acknowledge that there is no tie between the references of Tenten et al. and Neher et al. For example, on page 5 of the Office Action, it is stated that "the Examiner recognizes that obviousness can only [emphasis added] be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art." The Office Action then provides that "In this case, it is permissible for the Examiner to rely on disclosures, which fairly teach embodiments of Applicant's invention. The claims require a multitude of elements and it is reasonable [emphasis added] for one of ordinary skill in the art to consider these elements being used together." Why such is reasonable is not addressed and it is respectfully submitted that KSR International requires at least such.

As asserted in the Amendment and Remarks of August 18, 2007, there is no disclosure in any of the cited references to teach or suggest the continuous integrated process of independent claim 1. Moreover, as discussed below in the next section, at least the Tenten et al. reference teaches away from what is claimed.

C.2.a. KSR International as to teaching away

On page 12 of the slip opinion, the Supreme Court of the United States in KSR International Co. reaffirms the concept of teaching away:

In United States v. Adams, 383 U.S. 39, 40 (1966), a companion case to Graham, the Court considered the obviousness of a "wet battery" that varied from prior designs in two ways: It contained water, rather than the acids conventionally employed in storage batteries; and its electrodes were magnesium and cuprous chloride, rather than zinc and silver chloride. The Court recognized that when a patent claims a structure already known in the prior art that is altered by the mere substitution of one element for another known in the field, the combination must do more than yield a predictable result. 383 U. S., at 50-51. It nevertheless rejected the Government's claim that Adams's battery was obvious. The Court relied upon the corollary principle that when the prior art teaches away from combining certain known elements, discovery of a successful means of combining them is more likely to be nonobvious. Id., at 51-52. When Adams designed his battery, the prior art warned that risks were involved in using the types of electrodes he employed. The fact that the elements worked together in an unexpected and fruitful manner supported the conclusion that Adams's design was not obvious to those skilled in the art. [emphasis added]

C.2.b. The Tenten et al. reference teaches away from what is claimed

As to the Tenten reference, the Examiner contends on page 3, third to first lines from bottom of the Final Office Action that "Note that the primary reference, Tenten et al., appears to recognize the equivalency of alkenes and alcohols for gas-phase oxidation (column 12, lines 8-10). The expected result would be the production of acrylic acid from glycerol in high yield."

Applicant respectfully begs to differ with the Examiner. First, applicant discusses how the disclosure pointed out by the Examiner (column 12, lines 8-10) is different from what is claimed. Second, applicant shows how such a disclosure and the Tenten et al. reference as a whole teaches away from what is claimed.

(1) In the Tenten et al. reference, namely in column 12, lines 8-10, the alcohol which is used as a starting

{18450.DOC} (Amendment and Remarks--page 7 of 12)

material is tert-butanol, and the final product (where alcohol is used as a starting material) is methacrylic acid. (It is noted that the Tenten et al. reference teaches acrylic acid as a final product in column 11, line 27, but the starting material in this case is acrolein.) On the other hand, in the present invention, the alcohol which is used as a starting material is glycerol, and the final product is acrylic acid. Therefore, both the claimed starting material and the claimed final product in the present invention differ from those in the Tenten et al. reference.

(2) JP-A-59-210031 (a copy and a partial English translation are enclosed herewith) discloses that an alcohol makes a dehydration reaction to form an olefin (alkene) (please refer to claim 1 of the above partial English translation of the JP reference). Particularly, the JP reference discloses in Examples 1 and 2 that tert-butanol makes a dehydration reaction to form isobutene (please refer to Examples 1 and 2 of the above partial English translation of the JP reference). Therefore, it appears that, also in the Tenten et al. reference, tert-butanol which is used as the alcohol being a starting material makes a dehydration reaction to form isobutene in the same way, and thereafter this isobutene makes an oxidation reaction to form methacrylic acid. On the other hand, as to the present invention, if an olefin, namely, propene, according to the above teaching of the JP reference were formed in the step of the dehydration reaction of an alcohol, namely, glycerol and if this propene according to the teaching of column 4, lines 7-30 of the Tenten et al. reference made an oxidation reaction to form acrylic acid via acrolein, then a catalyst for the oxidation reaction of propene would be necessary separately. However, in the present invention, such a

(18450.DOC)(Amendment and Remarks--page 8 of 12)

catalyst is not necessary. Therefore, the Tenten et al. reactions not only differ from what is claimed, but actually teach away from what is claimed.

C.3. In view of concerns of the Examiner, applicant has amended claim 1 to even further remove claim 1 from the prior art references

The Neher et al. reference teaches <u>a low glycerol</u> <u>concentration</u> of an aqueous glycerol solution. In other words, the Neher et al. reference teaches <u>a high water</u> <u>content</u> of an aqueous glycerol solution. <u>Claim 1 claims</u> generally the opposite.

As to the Neher et al. reference, the Examiner contends on page 6, lines 7-12 of the Final Office Action that "The Examiner does not consider Applicant's 50 wt % of glycerol substantially different than the art's 40 wt % glycerol. With respect to Applicant's table on page 8 of the Remarks, the Applicant has not compared side-by-side results. The glycerol concentration the Applicant has used is substantially higher than 50 wt %. Thus, the Applicant has not shown a comparison with 50 wt % glycerol, as described in the claim language, with 40 wt % glycerol as taught in the art."

In order to address this contention of the Examiner, applicant has amended claim 1 to revise the water content "not more than 50 % by weight" of the aqueous glycerol solution into --not more than 20 % by weight--. In Example 1 of the Neher et al. reference, a "20 wt. % aqueous glycerol solution [water content: 80 wt. %] is pumped into a vaporizer." While the Neher et al. reference does disclose an aqueous glycerol solution of 10 to 40 wt. % (water content: 60 to 90 wt. %), the Example 1 disclosure appears to be the disclosure in the Neher et al. reference

(18450.DOC) (Amendment and Remarks--page 9 of 12)

having the lowest content of <u>vaporized glycerol</u> which applicant positively recites in claim 1.

It should be noted that the above-amended range --not more than 20 % by weight-- of the water content of the aqueous glycerol solution is also supported by all working examples (Examples 1 to 5) as described in the present application specification (15 % weight, 9 % weight, 8 % weight, 2 % weight, 9 % weight, respectively).

It should be noted that claim 1 claims percentages relative to a <u>water content</u>, while the Neher et al. reference relates its percentages to an aqueous <u>glycerol</u> solution.

In other words, claim 1 claims a water content of not more than 20 % by weight (i.e., 20 % and less than 20 % of water). On the other hand, the Neher et al. reference teaches an aqueous glycerol solution in a range of 10 to 40 wt. % (water content: 60 to 90 wt. %). Hence, there is no overlap between the ranges of claim 1 and the Neher et al. reference.

C.4. If necessary, applicant can submit a declaration

From among all working examples (Examples 1 to 5) as described in the present application specification, there was selected Example 1 where the water content of the aqueous glycerol solution was the highest value 15 % by weight and where the yield of acrylic acid was the lowest value 55 %. Then, in this comparative experiment, acrylic acid was produced in the same way as of Example 1 except that the water content "15 % by weight" of the aqueous glycerol solution was increased to 60 % by weight which was the lower limit value of the water content in the Neher reference.

As a result, the yield of acrylic acid was 49 %, which was a lower value, even when compared with the yield "55 %" of acrylic acid of Example 1 which yield was the lowest of those of all working examples (Examples 1 to 5) as described in the present application specification.

The result of this comparative experiment demonstrates the superiority of the present invention due to the above-amended range --not more than 20 %" by weight-- over the alleged combination of the Tenten et al. reference with the Neher reference.

D. Housekeeping matters

D.1. Period For Reply

A shortened statutory period for reply was set to expire three months from the mailing date of the Office Action of September 13, 2007. September 13, 2007 plus three months is December 13, 2007. This Amendment and Remarks is being filed on or before Thursday, December 13, 2007 and may be being filed on or before Tuesday, November 13, 2007.

D.2. Status

The Office Action of September 13, 2007 was made final.

D.3. Disposition Of Claims

Claims 1 and 3-6 are pending.

D.4. Application Papers

This case includes no drawings.

D.5. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

Acknowledgement of the claim for foreign priority was made in the Office Action dated May 24, 2007. This is

(18450.DOC) (Amendment and Remarks--page 11 of 12)

appreciated.

D.6. Attachments

As the Examiner has indicated, applicant has filed one PTO-1449 form in this case. This form has been initialed, signed and returned. This is very much appreciated.

E. Summary

Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is now in condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully invited to make contact with the undersigned by telephone if such would advance prosecution of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 11-6-2007

Tel. No.: (651) 699-7900 Fax. No.: (651) 699-7901

Robert J. Jacobson

650 Brimhall Street South St. Paul, MN 55116-1511

(9) 日本図特許庁 (JP)

①特許出願公開

母公開特許公報(A)

昭59--210031

⊕Int. Cl.* C 07 C 1/24 规则纪号

厅内整理番号 8217---4H ❸公開 昭和59年(1984)11月28日

発明の数 1 審査請求 未請求

(全 4 页)

のアルコールからのオレフインの製法

度 2259-89588

②出 蔵 超59(1984)5月7日

優先機主張 ③1983年5月11日の関ドイツ

(DE) DP3317166.3

□分 明 者 トーニ・ドックナー

ドイツ運邦共和四6701メツケン

ハイム・グロスガーセ6

②発 明 者 ヘルベルト・クルーク

ドイツ連邦共和国6700ルードウ イツヒスハーフエン・ムスパツ ヘルシユトラーセイ9

邳出 顧 人 パスフ・アクチェンゲゼルシヤ

フト

ドイツ運邦共和国6700ル—ドウィッヒスハーフェンカールボッ

シュストラーセ38

13代 理 人 弁理士 小林正雄

w ## ±

契明の名称

ナルコールからのオレフィンの製佐

存許確求の毎日

2017

- 1. 数体又は気体の状態のアルロールを、このア
 ルロール気が生成するオレフィンの排点以上の
 展度で常体点数値中に導入するととにより解決
 を行い、オレフィンを気体状で排出し、高伸点
 数値を配合をが増加したとき更新し、そして耐
 生物の増加した高等点数値を排出することを呼
 をよする、被組中でアルコールから水を分解放
 出するととによるオレフィンの数性。
- 2. 財政制の増加した鉱物を燃助することを得費 とする、特件階級の緊囲第1類に信息の事務。
- 5. 英雄点試験として、ガス物、真意ガス物、正 質物料物、工業用自動文物製品教育的を使用することを特徴とする、特許情末の範囲第1項に 記録の力法。
- 4 以水セ、以水灰心に比対の放業を用いて行う

ことを特徴とする。特許静永の虹磁館(現代標 時の方が、

- 5. 段水を連続的に行うことを貯板とする、存貯 船水の単語等1項に移載の力性。
- 4 以水を150~850℃で行うことを得象と する、外針線水の範囲第1項に記載の方法。

売労の野郷な巫明

本発質は、必需点鉱物中の収穫で以水を行い、 その開鉱性を更新して異生物の増加した鉱物を 総換に負することによる、アペロールからオレ フィンを製造する方法に関する。

独像の存在下の直相中では、多くの場合アルコールから水がさわめて円滑に分解放出される。 それには元分な反応速度に到達するため、独象。 例えば保険又は複像の高級性が必要である。 東 水反応は独言は複和中160~200℃で発行 する。この数しい条件の結果として、かなり多 法の創生物が、例えばエーテル化、異性化及び 业成エレフィンの数合により生成する。

オレフィレを行るためのより且好な手収とし て、気和中で不均一系統族を用いてアルマール の民水が行われる(ホーペンークイル書メトー ナン・ナル・オルガニアシニン・ヘミー4/2 着209只参照)。 触蛛として何えば悪化アル モニウム、美国アルモニタム又はトリタム、メ ングステン及びチョンの酸化物あるいは賃金級 化生が用いられる。反応包定は、300~46 C でも高いので、骨に張氏が及び異常の生態が 始こううる。敗侯を皇孫とより再生せねばなる ない。磁体放影を使用する場合の他の欠点は使 IIの点であり、それは製造においても収付け及 び攻出した励しても、ならびと数点によつても 生ずる。気和反応において合成中に都合の難い **物質運搬と供給が囚禁をもたらすので、火をい** 色交換回復及び組動を容碌むねばならない。

したがつてこれのの欠点を存せず、両生他の 簡単な分類独自も可能にする方法を開発するこ とが展展となっていた。

本苑労者らは、液体又に気体の状態のアルコ

ックス又は労働機能化水泉社を常用する。好ましくは200世以上の野政府に350~500 つの特別周期を有する英辺ガス的が用いられる。

松。他時後は、正氣用目前、豚麻ベラダイング

ールを、このアルワール及び生成するオレフイ

ンの詩点以上の弦旋で高語及紋指中に超入する

ことにより恩水を行い。オレフインを単体状で

排出し、皮が尿軟体を腐血物が増担したとと質

新し、そして背先後の増加した高級点鉄筒を排 川し、好ましくはこれを振りた供する、原和中

ゼアルコールから農水することによるオレフイ

高部点鉱位とは、洗品180℃を有する高部 点の石油材製単成物、例えばガス仙、英里ガメ

ンの製法により、この顕認を解決した。

班表写69~210031(2)

成水されるアルコールとしては、反応条件下 に発便なオレフィンを生成する、水を分解放出 する能力のある征息のアルコール、得に低かに 磁能性室を有しない、微節類、関係製文は必否 配数線のアルコールが用いられる。

夏辺珠アルコールの例としては、 2~20年

の以来原子を行するもの。例えばエメノール、 ブァノール又はデカノールがあげられる。

水を分解が出する協力のある緊環裏のアルコールは、1個及び多値の化合作例をはシタロへキサノール、シタロドデコノール、1,2,5,4ーテトラセドロナフトールー1又は1,2,5,4ーテトフにドロナフトールー2である。

労害財政法アルコールとしては、例えば2ーフエニルエタノール又は4ーフユニルブタノールスは1ーフエニルブタノールー1が用いられる。

歴史は反応の強動に応じて、無数なして文化 併立しく性態なを用いて実施できる。無無使用 の場合は、飲油に不存在機能も鉱苗に可能な態 無も使用でき、それは鉱苗に効果され、乳化さ れ又は時期される。

好ましくは最触鏡。例えば回動族文は労者族のスルホン教列とばベングールスルホン教又は トルボールスルホン教。ドグツルベングールス ドロン教。確認及び征数やエステル例えばテル ナル教教。検索文はその競争エステル代えばテル 財本体又は組成及びその政策は体が用いられる。 関係水権例をは工限化構。二酸化医犬及び使化 編集も使用できる。

別面化粒鉱としては、硬化アンミョウム、病 使アンミニウム、消化溶異塩、延装アルミニウム、消化溶異塩、延装アルミニウ ム、シリカゲル、硬化チタン、角のヘテロボリ 性、カリブテン使及びタンダステン酸が用いられる。

風水反応は一般と、50~600で好ましく 性50~550で毎に160~860での無度 、で行われる。一次に大気圧又は加足が短用され、 るが、数圧で抄作することも可能である。

無水反応用の反応的をしては、例えば代件なお思が返する。しかし水方法のため的に好ましくは、値域に配便された円筒形反応器。例えば 放棄者、改込等又は光規体をが出いられる。出典 物質又はその包含物は、過常はガス状で鉱油を 光理した反応器の連絡に便給され、あるいは包 秋で反応性配配に供給され、その場合被決の供給では致治が、在状で供給される出発性気の移為よりも高い風度に保持される。気化した出発物質を不効性ガスにより者取することがお利な場合もある。適当な不信性ガスは、例とば水高気、二度化災未及の好ましくは損害である。

反応企成物はタス状で反応委員部から取り出される。次いでダス状の反応出成物を想要しく は経節させる。最終にさらに積弱工程、例えば 歴聞又は分質を連続させてもよい。

本の明五位性が遺跡でも連携でも実力できるが、連続式級が位がより優れている。選続式級が位がより優れている。選続式のが位がより優れて生ずる反応のとが、例えば分解生成をしかつ抑息のとなるがある。これら分類生成との場合な他と一定に延伸の表別のののではない。などならに対して通常ののは実生ガスがあって進化を受けてきるからである。したがって作用された

전투약 58-210031 (영)

分解生成物を含むする鉱物は機能に終し、反応 おには好たな鉱物を供給することが好ましい。 この都級方法は、推研水準の方法に比して次 の木質的制点を名する。

疾癌剂 1

数数に、ガラス型(D-8m) 2.64、実空ガス型(防点400℃) 1.4 均及び 3 政長まのドデレルペンソールメルボン教を完成した配気 100元 1100元 110元 110元

医脓侧 2

実体列1の記載と同様に操作し、ただし反応 観度で165℃に促つ。三級アメノール(43 重量で、水20.8 重量で及びインプテン629 重量でで含有する英語物が727348のれる。 これは B 4 × の変化率に相当し、収率性理論値 の 9 7 % である。

奥峰例 3

突出例1に記載の過ぎた。218でで毎時世、 第254と一緒にグタロへキテノール1005 を供給する。副時間に成成物7249が得られ、 このものはグタロへキテノール24重量を、グ タロヘキテノンも5変量は、水120重量を、 グタロヘキテンフも4重量をから成る。これは するもの変化率及び型関係の744の収率に相 自する。

火油剂 4

央技例1 に記載の経営に、2 5 3 でで食物ド デシルベンダールメルルン酸6 0 支量器と一緒 に実配ガス前1 2 5 0 素量部中のプタノールー 1 の5 1 重量部を導入する。仮のプタノールー 1 の4 4 8 素量部、水1 3.8 重量部、プテンー 1 の 4 4 8 素量部、トランスー 2 ープテン 2.8 乗量信及びレスー 2 ープテン 2.4 重量部からの 更高知 5 0 重量部が得られる。これは反応した

18間 45B-210031 (4)

プタノールー 1 比別しる 6 年の変化界及びプテ ソー(1) B 6 年、トランスー 2 ープサン1 千及び シスーユープナン 6 年の収載に相当する。

出國人 パスァ・アクチェングゼルツヤフ! 代配人 弁理士 小 林 正 # IP-A-59-210031 (Kokai)

English translation of selected passages from JP-A-59-210031 (Kokai)

Page 1, lower left column, lines 4-12 (claim 1):

Claims:

1. A process for producing an olefin by decomposition-extrusion of water from an alcohol in a liquid phase, which is characterized by comprising the steps of: carrying out a dehydration reaction of an alcohol by introducing the alcohol in a liquid or gaseous state into a high-boiling-point mineral oil at a temperature not lower than a boiling point of the alcohol and a boiling point of an olefin being formed from the alcohol; discharging the formed olefin in a gaseous state; renewing the high-boiling-point mineral oil when by-products have increased; and discharging the high-boiling-point mineral oil having the increased by-products.

Page 3, lower left column, line 1 to lower right column, line 2 (Examples 1 and 2):

15 Example 1

20

25

An apparatus comprises an electric heating type quartz tube (D = 60 mm, L = 1100 mm) filled with 2.6 liters of glass beads (D = 5 mm), 1.4 kg of a vacuum gas oil (boiling point 400 °C) and 5 weight % of dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid. An amount of 74.1 g/h of tert-butanol is supplied through an immersion tube at 205 °C by a metering pump. At the same time, 2.5 liters/h of nitrogen is introduced. If a reaction mixture discharged from the reactor is condensed at -40 °C, then 72.55 g of a condensate is obtained. This condensate comprises 9.7 weight % of tert-butanol, 22.5 weight % of water and 67.8 weight % of isobutene. This corresponds to a conversion of 90.5 %. The yield of isobutene is 97 % of a theoretical value based on the reacted tert-butanol.

Example 2

The same operation as Example 1 is carried out except that the reaction temperature is kept at 165 °C. An amount of 72.7 g of a condensate comprising

JP-A-59-210031 (Kokai)

16.3 weight % of tert-butanol, 20.8 weight % of water and 62.9 weight % of isobutene is obtained. This corresponds to a conversion of 84 %. The yield is 97 % of the theoretical value.